



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,714	11/14/2003	Karl Renner	TI-35822A	2076	
23494	7590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			LEE, MI	LEE, MICHAEL	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TATER NOMBER	
	·		2622		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/713,714	RENNER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		M. Lee	2622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/15/0 This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>5-22</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen		_				
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/713,714 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conover (6,169,581) in view of Wilson (6,594,330).

Regarding claim 1, Conover discloses a vertical sync phase locked loop (114, col. 5, lines 23-25) showing a sync phase detector 102, a voltage controlled oscillator 106, and an output logic 109), except the vertical sync discrete time oscillator as claimed. Wilson, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches that a conventional analog phase locked loop can be replaced with a digital phase locked loop (col. 1, lines 59-65). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to replace the voltage controlled oscillator 106 of Conover with the digitally controlled oscillator 208 of Wilson to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, Conover shows a loop filter 104.

3. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conover (6,169,581) in view of Wilson (6,594,330), and further in view of Janesch et al. (6,018,556).

Regarding claim 3, Conover does not explicitly show the detail structure of the loop filter as claimed. Janesch, from the similar field of endeavor, shows a conventional loop filter 166, which shows a first multiplier 201, a first adder 204, a second multiplier 202, and a decay accumulator 203. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the loop filter of Janesch as the loop filter in Conover to perform the well known functions as claimed since the loop filter of Conover could be any conventional loop filters.

Regarding claim 4, see amplifiers 201 and 202.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In considering applicant's argument that Conover's PLL just makes a decision and not detection as now claimed, the PLL in Conover detects the input sync and generates an output sync. In column 4, lines 36-40, Conover states that the programmable logic apparatus 108 creates a signal with a frequency and phase similar to the detected (vertical) sync. This statement clearly meets the limitation as now claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,714

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached on 571-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2622

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622 Page 5